

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/039,355	KAPULKA ET AL.
	Examiner Dieu-Minh Le	Art Unit 2114

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dieu-Minh Le. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. David J. McKenzie [Reg. No. 46,919]. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 07 February 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 7, 11, 15-17, 22-27, 32-35, and 42-43.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Main issues discussed were the grammatical errors and informalities in the claims as submitted in the Applicants' last response mailed January 18, 2005. Applicant's Attorney agreed to amend the claims according Examiner's Amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required